



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/527,584	03/17/2000	Peter J. Ashwood Smith	9-13528-94US	2892

20988 7590 07/21/2004
OGILVY RENAULT
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE
SUITE 1600
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3
CANADA

EXAMINER

ZIA, SYED

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2131

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/527,584	ASHWOOD SMITH, PETER J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Syed Zia	2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Syed Zia. (3) Matthew Roy (48074)
 (2) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 July 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attorney discussed his argument regarding prior art rejection, and specifically mentioned that prior art is based on "connection oriented" traffic while present invention is dedicated to "connectionless" traffic and dynamic allocation of resources.

Examiner described his basis of rejection and pointed out 'broadness of claims regarding connection oriented & connection-less' traffic. Attorney agreed to submit an amendment based on to narrow the scope of and this amendment will be subject to inventor's approval.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action. *NO agreement was reached about allowance at this time*

Examiner's signature, if required *[Signature]*